

ERRATA.

Page	25, Similia,	line	3.	For	<i>vidire</i>	read	<i>videre</i>
"	26, "	"	3.	"	Ferr.	"	<i>fam.</i> ¹³
"	26, "	"	4.	"	<i>ea quae</i>	"	<i>hae quae</i>
"	57, "	"	10.	"	<i>dōme</i>	"	<i>d̄me</i>
"	70,	"	13.	"	ⲛⲁⲩ ⲛⲁⲩⲁⲛ	"	ⲛⲁ ⲛⲁⲩⲁⲛ
"	81, Similia,	"	3.	Delete	aur. before	om.	ⲉⲓⲑⲓⲥ*
"	87,	"	8.	For	ⲛⲁⲩⲁⲩⲁ	read	ⲛⲁⲩⲁⲩⲁ
"	96,	lines	15, 16.	Remove	³ to before		ⲁⲓⲑⲓⲥⲁ
"	97, Similia,	"	2.	For	c d f	read	b c d f
"	101, "	"	8.	"	<i>habant</i>	"	<i>habent</i>
"	108, "	"	1.	"	<i>fam.</i> ¹ <i>fam.</i> ¹³ ⲉⲓⲡⲉⲛ ⲁⲩⲧⲱ	read	Pesh. idem. <i>fam.</i> ¹ <i>fam.</i> ¹³ ⲉⲓⲡⲉⲛ ⲁⲩⲧⲱ
"	128, "	lines	4, 5.	Delete	9 ¹ b e	aur. om.	ⲟⲩⲛ
"	129, Dissimilia,	line	2.	For	ⲛⲁⲩⲁⲩⲁ ^d	read	ⲛⲁⲩⲁⲩⲁ ^d
"	130,	"	14.	"	ⲛⲁⲩⲁⲩⲁ	"	ⲛⲁⲩⲁⲩⲁ
"	134,	"	1.	"	ⲛⲁ	"	ⲛⲁ
"	136, Similia,	"	1.	"	b q aur.	"	b q*
"	142, Dissimilia,	"	4.	Delete	ⲛⲁⲩⲁ		^b
"	184, "	"	2.	Insert	ⲛⲁⲩⲁ	^a	41
"	195, Similia,	"	5.	Delete	L		
"	228, Dissimilia,	"	3.	For	ⲛⲁⲩⲁ ^a 67	read	ⲛⲁⲩⲁ + ^a 67
"	229, Similia,	"	2.	Delete	L		
"	240, "	"	4.	For	ⲟⲩ	read	ⲟⲩ
"	253, Dissimilia,	"	3.	"	ⲛⲁⲩⲁ [with Cureton †]	read	ⲛⲁⲩⲁ [with Burkitt]

* This is due to a variation between the Codex Aureus and Belsheim's edition of it.

† The Cureton MS. is here defaced by a deep brown stain, and at first sight it appears to have ⲛⲁⲩⲁ; but on examining the word with a magnifying glass, one can see the ⲛ of ⲛⲁⲩⲁ.