# CLARIFYING MORE BAFFLING BIBLICAL PASSAGES

CHAPTER SIXTEEN

## "DECEIVING OR DECEIVED PROPHETS EZEKIEL 14:9 AND 20:25–27"

Thomas F. McDaniel, Ph.D.

C

2008 All Rights Reserved

#### XVI

### DECEIVING OR DECEIVED PROPHETS EZEKIEL 14:9 AND 20:25–27

#### EZEKIEL 14:9

וְהַנְּבִיא כִי־יְפֻּתֶּה וְדִבֶּר דְּבָר אֲנִי יְהוָה פִּתֵּיתִי אֵת הַנְּבִיא הַהוּא וְנָטִיתִי אֶת־יָדִי עָלָיו וְהָשְׁמַדְתִּיו מִתוֹדְ עַמִּי יִשְׂרָאֵל:

And if the prophet be deceived and speak a word, I, the LORD, have deceived that prophet, and I will stretch out my hand against him, and will destroy him from the midst of my people Israel.  $(RSV)^{1}$ 

Like the two verbs פָּקִיחָנִי in Jer 20:7, the verbs ווּ שָׁפָּקוֹיחָנִי and בָּפָּקוֹיחָנִי in Jer 20:7, the verbs and בּפּקוֹיחָני and יְפָקוֹיחָני in Ezek 14:9 have been read as a simple repetition of הַפָּקוֹיחָי to deceive." The following quotations are typical of traditional interpretations of Ezekiel's reporting God's acknowledgment that he deliberately deceived some of Israel's prophets. Cooke (1936: 151) stated

Ez[ekiel] here goes deeper into the causes of false prophecy. Not merely self-delusion ( $13^{3.6}$ ), and the influence of idolatrous clients (v.<sup>7</sup>), may lead a prophet to utter false oracles, but the divine will itself: *I Jahveh have deceived that prophet*. Such a statement is only intelligible when we remember that ancient habits of thought overlooked secondary causes, and attributed events direct to the action of God; see Am  $3^6$ , Is  $45^7$ .

Several decades later Eichrodt (1970: 183) concluded

The only explanation is that it [the lapse of a prophet] is a blindness caused by God himself. . . . Deuteronomy 13.3 shows a similar outlook. It explains that if a miraculous sign takes place, by which a prophet tries to win people's faith when he is leading them into idolatry, then that sign has been brought about by God himself to test [רְנָהָרָשָׁר] or tempt his own people.

A few years lated Zimmerli (1978: 308, 309) asserted

That people knew of the possibility of a prophet being deceived ( $\square \square$ ) is shown by the narrative of the prophetic school in 1 Kings 13. With Ezekiel it undergoes a grim heightening in the statement that what at first appears to be an occasion of human guilt may be a serious involvement in divine punishment (cf. 1 Kings 22)... God kills in order to save. In his judgment he is zealous for his people. The choice of language of the sacral law brings to expression this will for the purity of the people—and this will is a zeal full of compassion.

Greenberg, more recently, (1983: 253–254) concluded

For Ezekiel, the illegitimate prophet is himself a victim and sign of God's fury. This goes further than Deut 13:2ff., which interprets the confirmatory signs of a subversive prophet as a test of the people's loyalty to YHWH; further also than I Kings 22:20ff., in which a "lying spirit" is commissioned to enter Ahab's prophets in order to assure his death in battle (we are not told that those prophets suffered any penalty, human or divine). Our passage ascribes the error of a prophet in responding to inquiry to divine misguidance. The obtuseness of the Israelites, including prophets, is culpable, and God punishes it by corrupting the spring of inspiration, leading inquirer and respondent alike to destruction. Greenberg found a parallel in Ezekiel 20, where "in order to punish the guilty Israelites God gave them (misled them with) bad and fatal laws 'so that I might desolate them (vss. 25f)." It is important to note that Greenberg (1983: 368–369) did not comment on Ezek 20:30–31, which he translated as

Say, then to the house of Israel: Thus said Lord YHWH: You defile yourselves in the manner of your fathers, you go whoring after loathsome things; you defile yourselves by the offer of your gifts by delivering up your sons to the fire —your idolatries of all sorts—to this day; shall I then respond to your inquiry, house of Israel? By my life, declares Lord YHWH, I will not respond to your inquiry!

The repeated statement of Yahweh in Ezek 20:30, "you defile yourselves" (אָהֶם נְטְנָאָיָם)<sup>2</sup> and the assurance given in Ezek 20:11

ַנָאָתֵן לָהֶם אֶת־חֻקּוֹתַי וְאֶת־מִשְׁפְּטֵי הוֹדַעְתִי אוֹתָם אֲשֶׁר יַעֲשֶׂה אוֹתָם הָאָדָם וָחַי בְּהֶם

I [Yahweh] gave them my statutes and showed them my ordinances, by whose observance man shall live,

are difficult to reconcile with Ezek 20:25–26, as traditionally translated below.

EZEKIEL 20:25–26 וְגַם־אֲנִי נָתַתִּי לָהֶם חֻקִים לא טוֹבִים וּמִשְׁפָּטִים לא יִחְיוּ בּהֶם וְאֲטַמֵּא אוֹתָם בְּמַתְּנוֹתָם בְּהַעֲבִיר כְּל־פֶּטֶר רְחַם לְמַעַן אֲשָׁמֵם לְמַעַן אֲשָׁר יֵדְעוּ אֲשֶׁר אֲנִי יְהוָה

Moreover I gave them statutes that were not good and ordinances by which they could not have life. And I defiled them through their very gifts in making them offer by fire all their first-born, that I might horrify them; I did it that they might know that I am the Yahweh

The statement in Ezek 20:25, as translated and interpreted above, obviously contradicts the promise in Lev 18:5

וּשְׁמַרְהֶם אֶת־חֻקֹּתַי וְאֶת־מִשְׁפְּטֵי אֵשֶׁר וַעֲשֵׂה אֹתַם הַאָּדָם וַחֵי בָּהָם

You shall therefore keep my statutes and my ordinances, by doing which a man shall live.

The difficulties raised by these contradictory verses has been well expressed by Block (1997: 636).

One can see how radical this notion [that Yahweh *defiled* his people] is in that nowhere else in the OT does Yahweh appear as the subject of the *verb timmē*<sup>o</sup> (Piel). . . . But in v. 26 Yahweh declares his intentions explicitly: *so that I might devastate them* (*lěma*<sup>o</sup>*an* <sup>o</sup>*ăšimmēn*). Interpreted at face value, these verses create horrifying and intolerable theological problems. How could Yahweh, the gracious covenant God, be portrayed as granting his people "bad" laws that would not result in life? Even more unconscionable, how could he defile the nation by demanding of them their firstborn, offered up as child sacrifices, so he could destroy them? Students of Scripture have struggled with these problems through the centuries.

But according to van der Horst (1992: 98) many centuries went by before there was any struggling with these texts. He noted

One looks in vain for it [a reference to Ezek 20:25–26] in all the Jewish Apocrypha and Pseudepigrapha, nor does one find

any reference or allusion to, let alone quotation of, our text in Philo or Josephus or any of the other Jewish-Greek authors. In the Qumran scrolls our text is never quoted or even alluded to. In Tannaitic literature no trace of our text is to be found. It is only in the 3<sup>rd</sup> through 6<sup>th</sup> centuries that we find rabbis discussing the meaning of this passage.

The current struggle with Ezekiel's quotations about Yahweh's deceiving prophets and giving laws which would bring certain death can be resolved once several words used by Ezekiel become recognized in future lexicons of Biblical Hebrew. For example, the Hebrew מכחר בתר can be read as *either* the *Pi<sup>c</sup>el* "to deceive, to seduce" (BDB 834; KBS 3: 984) *or* as the Hebrew cognate of the Arabic فتر (*fatawa*) "he notified the decision of the law . . . respecting the case, to give a formal legal opinion," including a death sentence (Lane 1877: 2336–2337; Wehr 1979: 815).

As a matter of fact, *both* words appear in Ezek 14:9. The *Pu<sup>c</sup>al* יְפָתֶה "he will be deceived" needs to be repointed as the *Pi<sup>c</sup>el* יְפָתֶה "he deceives" (and the MT יְפָתֶה "and he spoke" needs to be corrected to יְרַבֶּר "רִיָפָתָה" "he speaks").<sup>3</sup> With these corrections to the MT the phrase יְרַבֶּר דְּכָר דְרָר רְּבָר הָנָר יִרְפַתָּה means "if the prophet deceives when he speaks a word." The apodosis which then follows contains a wordplay on the apodosis which then follows contains a wordplay on the apodosis which then follows contains a wordplay on the apodosis which then follows contains a wordplay on the apodosis which then follows contains a wordplay on the apodosis which then follows contains a wordplay a sentence." Thus, Yahweh proclaimed *Pi<sup>c</sup>el* הַנָּרִי הַנָּרָרָא הַרָּרָר הָרָרָר הַרָּרָר הַרָּרָר הַרָּרָר הָרָרָר הַרָּרָר הַרָּרָר מָרָרָר הַרָּרָר הַרָּרָר מָרָר הַרָּרָר הַרָרָר הַרָּרָר הַרָּרָר הַרָּרָר הַרָּרָר הַתָּרָר הַרָּרָר הַרָר הַרָּרָר הַרָר הַרָּרָר הַרָר הַרָּרָר הַרָרָר הַרָּרָר הַרָר הַרָרָר הַרָר הַרָּרָר הַרָּרָר הַרָרָר הַרָר הַיַרָר הַרָר הַיַר הַרָר הַרָר הַרָר הַרָר הַרָר הַרָר הַרָר הַיַר הַרָר הַיָר הַרָר הַרָ

Another word used by Ezekiel in 20:25 which has gone unrecognized by commentators is the emphatic particle ייהdeed."<sup>4</sup> This לא was always misread by the Masoretes as the negative particle לא מובים שובים שובים שובים would have been synonymous with הקים מובים מובים "exceedingly good statutes."<sup>5</sup>

Thus, the statements in Ezekiel 20 are quite consistent in affirming the goodness of Yahweh's law by which life is guaranteed. In 20:11 Ezekiel quoted Yahweh as saying, "I gave them my statutes and showed them my ordinances, by whose observance man shall live"; and in 20:21 Yahweh declared, "they did not observe my statutes or keep my ordinances that bring life to those who observe them" (NAB). Also in 20:25—when properly interpreted—Yahweh emphatically affirmed, "I gave them statutes that were *indeed* good and ordinances by which they could *indeed* have life," with 20:26<sup>°</sup> concluding, "I did it [the giving of good laws which give life] that they might acknowledge that I am Yahweh."

When לא "indeed" and מָּחָה "to issue a fiat/fatwa" are added to Ezekiel's active vocabulary, the problems of 20:25, per se, disappear. But different problems emerge with 20:26, where, seemingly, Yahweh admitted "I defiled them through their very gifts in making them offer by fire all their firstborn, that I might horrify them."

Thus, new contradictory statements appear. Whereas Ezek 20:13, 16, 21, and 24 speak of Israel's rebellion and refusal to obey God's ordinances, 20:26 would seem to indicate that Israel was indeed faithful in obeying Yahweh's commands for child sacrifice. Yet in 20: 31, Yahweh declared, "When you offer your gifts and sacrifice your sons by fire, you defile yourselves with all your idols to this day." The question becomes, "According to Ezekiel, were children sacrificed in

263

Israel because Yahweh—at some time or place—required it (according to 20:26), or was such sacrifice contrary to Yahweh's will (according to 20:30-31)?<sup>6</sup>

Once it is recognized that the first nine of fifteen Hebrew words in 20:26 (or 28 words in KJV) are out of place, the question just raised can be dismissed. The statement in 20:26, "and I defiled them through their very gifts in making them offer by fire all their first-born, that I might horrify them" (RSV), needs to be restored to the end of 20:27. There it restores the direct quotation of the blasphemy spoken by the fathers of Israel to legitimate their sacrificing of children. The restored lines of 20: 25–27 need to be read in this sequence:

Moreover I gave them statutes that were *indeed* good and ordinances by which they could *indeed* have life.

I did it that they might know that I am Yahweh. Therefore, son of man, speak to the house of Israel and say to them, "Thus says my Lord Yahweh:

'In this again your fathers blasphemed me, in their transgressing they transgressed against me,

{saying} "I defile them through their very gifts in making them offer by fire all their first-born, that I might horrify them."""

#### CONCLUSION

The problems presented by Ezek 14:9 and 20:25–26 are not really theological, as Blenkinsopp (1990: 89) suggested, but scribal and lexicographical. The two minor scribal errors were the misreading of an original ירבר "he speaks" as ירבר "and he spoke" and the misreading of an original ירבר "they transgressed" as מעל a transgression." The more significant scribal error (or editorial decision) was the relocation of the nine Hebrew word quotation of the blasphemy of the "fathers" (originally belonging at the end of 20: 27 but now found in the MT as 20:26<sup>a b</sup>), so that it became an admission on the lips of Yahweh.

The lexicographical problems come from the omission, to date, of הה (stem II)—which is the cognate of Arabic فتو (fatawa/fatwa) "a judicial sentence [of death]"—from the lexicons of Biblical Hebrew. Although the emphatic לא been recognized in a number of biblical texts and is cited in some current Hebrew lexicons, it has not been recognized by past or current commentators as the significant key for interpreting these difficult verses, as proposed in this brief study.

#### NOTES

1. The KJV, ASV, NRS, and DRA read essentially the same as the RSV. Other translations of the MT פתיתי and יפתיתי in Ezek 14:9a include *seduced* (NJB), *beguiled* (NAB), *enticed* (NIV), *induced* (NKJ), *prevailed* (NAU), *befooled* (Zimmerli 1979: 302), and

*misled* (Greenberg (1983:253). The Vulgate has *erraverit* and *decepi* for these verbs. The Septuagint translated quite literally,

καὶ ὁ προφήτης ἐἀν πλανηθῆ καὶ λαλήση ἐγῶ κύριος πεπλάνηκα τὸν προφήτην ἐκεῖνονκαὶ ἐκτενῶ τὴν χεῖρά μου ἐπ' αὐτὸν καὶ ἀφανιῶ αὐτὸν ἐκ μέσου τοῦ λαοῦ μου Ισραηλ.

The Greek πλανηθ $\hat{η}$ ...πεπλάνηκα could be translated by any of the above words.

2. Compare Ezek 23:30, "you played the harlot with the nations, and polluted yourself with their idols," and Ezek 36:31, "Then shall you remember your own evil ways, and your doings that were not good, and shall loathe yourselves in your own sight for your iniquities and for your abominations."

3. On the frequent confusion of ' and ' see Delitzsch (1920) 103–105,  $\$103^{a-c}$ .

4. The literature on the emphatic  $\checkmark$  and  $\aleph \checkmark$  continues to grow. In addition to references cited by Richardson (1966: 89), note Mc Daniel (1968) 206–208; Bloomerde (1969) 31; Dahood (1975) 341–342); Whitley (1975) 202–204; and Huehnergard (1983) 569–593, especially 591.

5. Another example of the emphatic particle לא "indeed" in Ezekiel appears in 32:27, where the negative בולים נפלים "and they shall not lie with the mighty *that are* fallen," appears as an affirmative in the Septuagint, אמו לאסעח-'θησαν μετὰ τῶν γιγάντων τῶν πεπτωκότων, "and they are laid to rest with the fallen giants." The affirmative statement in 32:28, "So you shall be broken and lie among the uncircumcised, with those who are slain by the sword," supports the reading of the Septuagint and reading the k לא אלי.

6. Texts dealing with the sacrifice or redemption of the first born include Exo 13:1, 11–15, 22:28<sup>b</sup> (MT), 34:19–20; texts speaking against child sacrifice include Lev 18:21; Deut 12:29–31, 18: 10–12; Jer 7:30–32, 19:4–6, 32:35; and Ezek 16:20–22; Micah 6:7.

7. Restoring MT הְשָׁל to הְשָׁל with the Septuagint's παρέπεσον
"they transgressed." The suffix of הְשָׁל comes from the initial
of the preceding וְאֲשַׁמֵא in the reconstructed text.