CLARIFYING MORE BAFFLING BIBLICAL PASSAGES

CHAPTER NINE "NOTES ON PSALMS 70 AND 40"

Thomas F. McDaniel, Ph.D.

© 2008

All Rights Reserved

IX

NOTES ON PSALMS 70 AND 40

Psalm 70:1

לְמָנַצֵּחַ לְדָוִד לְהַזְּכִּיר: אֱלֹהִים לְהַצִּילֵנִי יְהֹנָה לְעֶזְרָתִי חוּשָׁה:

NAS

For the choir director.

A Psalm of David; for a memorial.

O God, hasten to deliver me;

O LORD, hasten to my help!

LXX

εἰς τὸ τέλος τῷ Δαυιδ εἰς ἀνάμνησιν εἰς τὸ σῶσαί με κύριον ὁ θεός εἰς τὴν βοήθειάν μου πρόσχες For the end, to David, for a remembrance.

That the Lord may save me, O God, draw nigh to my help.

McDaniel

For bass voices,¹ for the king,² for a memorial.³ Remember, O God, to deliver me O Yahweh, hasten to save me.

Following the superscription, Psalm 70:1 begins now with the vocative אֱלֹהִים, "O God," followed by the sequential infinitive לְהַצִּילֵנִי "to deliver me." The original finite verb which began the verse survives in the לְהַוֹּבִיר "to hold in

memory" of the superscription. This הַּזְבָּיר was mistakenly read as the $Hiph^c \hat{\imath}l$ infinitive—hence its being given secondarily the preposition Σ and made the final word of the superscription.

However, this הַּוֹבִּיך was a $Hiph^c \hat{\imath}l$ imperative and was originally the first word of the psalm itself: "Remember, O God, to save me . . ." On the other hand, if the infinitive $\bar{\iota}$ was always a part of the superscription, the text would have included the infinitive and the imperative: "אוֹבִּיר הַּוְבִּיך הַּוֹבִיר הַּוֹבִיר הַוְבִּיך having dropped out by haplography. Thus, there is no need to assume that the imperative "רַבָּה pleased," found in Psa 40:14, was ever a part of Psa 70:1. A typical 3+3 bi-colon can be restored simply by borrowing the הַוְבִּיך from the superscription or restoring its double.

Psalm 40:14-17

Psalm 70 is quoted in its entirety in Psa 40:14–17, although it is not an entirely exact quotation, there being twelve variations in the texts of the two psalms. Four words in Psa 40: 14–17 are not found in Psalm 70, namely, the לְּבֶּבּה "be pleased" in 40:14, the יַרְבָּר "to gether" in 40:15; the יִּלְבּר "to snatch it away" in 40:15; and the יִּר "to me" in 40:16. The other eight variations between the psalms are

MT Psalm 40:		MT Psalm 70	
v.14	יְהוְה	v. 1	אֱלֹהִים
v. 16	רָשׁמוּר	v. 4	יַ ^י שׁוּבוּ
v. 17	יְהנָה	v. 5	אֱלהים

There was no plagiarism involved in the use of Psalm 70 by the author of Psalm 40. The poet clearly identified his source, stating: בְּמָנְלֵת־סֶבֶּר כַּתוּב עַלֵי

in the scroll of scripture it was written about me.

Unfortunately, this citation introducing the quotation became detached from the quotation itself, which at one time must have immediately followed the statement of source. Somehow the phrase בְּמָנְכֵּח מַפֵּר בָּתוּב עָּלֶי ended up as 40:8b, and the quotation from Psalm 70 ended up as the last five verses of Psalm 40.

Rearranging Psalm 40

Once the psalm, now called Psalm 40, was incorporated into the אָבֶּלֵה־סֵבֶּה "scroll of scripture" which included what is now called Psalm 70, the discrepancies between the original Psalm 70 and its duplicate in Psalm 40 became embarrassingly conspicuous. The problem was solved not by harmonizing the texts of Psalms 40 and 70 but by rearranging the verses of Psalm 40 so as to obscure the direct quotation and eliminate its proper citation. The rearrangement was quite successful, for commentators have attempted to identify the אַבֶּלַת־סַבָּר "scroll of scripture" with the Torah of Moses, or the Deuteronomic Code, or the heavenly record book of human deeds, mentioned in Psa 56:8, 87:6, and 139:16. The fact

that it referenced a scroll of "published" psalms from which the current psalmist quoted has to date gone unrecognized.¹⁰

Originally, Psalm 40 was likely to have had the following sequence of verses (with the words requiring additional comment being marked in bold italics):

- **40:7b.** In the scroll of scripture it is written about me:
 - **40:13–17.** Let them be put to shame and confusion altogether who seek to snatch away my life; let them be turned back and brought to dishonor who desire my hurt! Let them be appalled because of their shame who say to me, "Aha, Aha!" But may all who seek thee rejoice and be glad in thee; may those who love your salvation say continually, "Great is Yahweh!" As for me, I am poor and needy; but the Lord takes thought for me. You are my help and my deliverer; do not tarry, O my God!
- **40:11–12.** Do not thou, O LORD, withhold thy mercy from me, let thy steadfast love and thy faithfulness ever preserve me! For evils have encompassed me without number; my iniquities have overtaken me, till I cannot see; they are more than the hairs of my head; my heart fails me.
- **40:1–6.** I waited patiently for Yahweh; he inclined to me and heard my cry. He drew me up from the *burial plot*, out of the miry bog, and set my feet upon a rock, making my steps secure.

He put a new song in my mouth, a song of praise to our God. Many will see and fear, and put their trust in Yahweh. Blessed is the man who makes Yahweh his trust, who does not turn to *skeptics* or *misleading myth*.

You have multiplied, O Yahweh my God, your wondrous deeds and your thoughts toward us; none can compare with thee! Were I to proclaim and tell of them, they would be more than can be numbered. Sacrifice and offering you do

not desire. You *freed* me of my faults. Burnt offering and sin offering you have not required.

40:7a. Whereupon I said: "Behold, *I have confessed*:

40:8–10. I delight to do your will, O my God; your law *has been internalized*." I have told the glad news of deliverance in the great congregation. Lo, I have not restrained my lips, as you know, O Yahweh. I have not hid your saving help within my heart. I have spoken of your faithfulness and your salvation; I have not concealed your steadfast love and your faithfulness from the great congregation.

Psa 40:2a (MT 40:3a)

וַיַּעֲלֵנִי מִבּוֹר שָׁאוֹן מִמִּיט הַיָּוִן

RSV

He drew me up from the desolate pit, out of the miry bog

LXX

καὶ ἀνήγαγέν με ἐκ λάκκου ταλαιπωρίας καὶ ἀπὸ πηλοῦ ἰλύος

And he brought me up out of a pit of misery, and from miry clay.

McDaniel
He drew me up from the burial plot,
out of the miry bog.

Contextually, the שֵׁלוֹך appears to be a reference to the grave. As suggested in BDB (981) the phrase can mean "pit of roaring (of waters?)," which would fit well the context of II Sam 22:5–20 (Psa 18:4–19), which speaks of "the waves of death," "the torrents of perdition," "a gathering of water,"

This cognate also provides the derivation for the שַׁאִיָּה in Isa 24:12—as understood by the Septuagint translators. The Hebrew and Greek for 24:12 are

בְּעִיר שַׁמָּה וּשְׁאִיָּה יָכַּת־שָּׁעֵר Desolation is left in the city, the gates are battered into ruins (RSV). καὶ καταλειφθήσονται πόλεις ἔρημοι καὶ οἶκοι ἐγκαταλελειμμένοι ἀπολοῦνται and cities shall be left desolate, and houses being left shall fall to ruin.

Hatch and Redpath (1897: 138, 366, 980) identified the ἐγκαταλελειμμένοι "ones being left" with the MT מָּאַיָּה and the ἀπολοῦνται "fall to ruin" with the MT בַּת. According to this analysis, the MT שֵׁעֵר "gate" has no equivalent in the Greek translation. They did not identify the οἶκοι of Isa 24:

12 (marked with a †) with any Hebrew stem. However, the οἶκοι reflects the MT שֵׁאִיָּה (perhaps read as the plural שֵׁאִיּה [scriptio defectiva] in the Vorlage). This אַיָּה (tawaya) in the Vorlage). This יִּבְּאַ (tawaya) in the Worlage) of Psa 40:2, is a cognate of the Arabic (tawaya) in the dwelt, or abode," and شوى (matwan) in place where one stays, dwells, or abides, an abode or a dwelling," i.e., the οἶκος of the Greek translation. 13

Although the Septuagint translators of Psa 40:2 translated אָשׁוֹן as ταλαιπωρίας "misery, distress" (as though it were from the root אֵשׁ —like the שוֹאָה וֹמְשׁאָן "distress and misery" in Job 30:3—rather than from the root שָּאָרָה / שָׁיָּאָה in Isa 24:12 as οἶκος is sufficient reason to add שִׁאָּיָה, stem III, the cognate of בּפּט (tawaya), to the lexicon of Biblical Hebrew, and to recognize that the שָׁאוֹן of 40:2 was derived from it.

Psa 40:4b (MT 40:5b) וְלֹא־פָּנָה אֶל־רְהָבִים וְשְּׂטֵי כָזְב

RSV

who does not turn to the proud, to those who go astray after false gods!

LXX

καὶ οὐκ ἐνέβλεψεν εἰς ματαιότητας 14 καὶ μανίας ψευδεῖς

and has not regarded vanities and false frenzies

McDaniel

who does not turn to skeptics nor misleading myth

While most translators and commentators have interpreted the רְהָבִים of 40:4 as "proud, arrogant, boisterous" (BDB 923; KBS 3: 1193), Dahood (1965: 243) paraphrased רְהָבִים as "pagan idols." He argued

The usual derivation of $r^eh\bar{a}b\bar{i}m$ from $r\bar{a}hab$, "to be arrogant," is supported by analogous $z\bar{e}d\bar{i}m$, a term for pagan deities in Ps xix 14 deriving from zyd, "to act stormily, arrogantly." Hence the mythical sea monster Rahab is "the Arrogant One." Note too that LXX translates $r^eh\bar{a}b\bar{i}m$ by $matai\acute{o}t\bar{e}tas$ which elsewhere reproduces Hebrew words for "idols, gods."

But this argument in weakened by two facts. First, the יוֹרֵים in Psa 19:14 was translated in the LXX as ἀλλοτρίων "strangers, foreigners" (as though יוֹרִים were יוֹרִים), not by ματα-ιότητας "vanities." Secondly, יוֹרִים was used elsewhere to modify ייִר "men" (as the "godless men"in Jer 43:2) and used with איש "man" as the subject (as in Exo 21:14, "a man acts presumptuously to slay his neighbor"). Thus, Psa 19:14 is not a convincing analogy for building the case that דְּבָּבִים means "pagan idols." Moreover, ματαιότης was used to translate הָבֶּבֶל, הָבֶּבֶל , הַבֶּל , הַבֶּל , הַבֶּל , מֹמֵל , תַבֶּל , but never the words for idols, like מַמֵּל , תַבֶּב , מַמֵּל , תַבֶּל , and מִבְּלִיל , and אָלִיל , but never the words for idols, like מַמֵּל , תַבָּל , and מַמֵּל , מַבֵּל . יַּבְּל . יַּבְּל . יַּבְּל . יַּבְּל . יַּבְּל . יַבְּל . יִבְּל . יִבְּל . יִבְּל . יַבְּל . יִבְּל . יִבְּל . יַבְּל . יַבְּל . יַבְּל . יִבְּל . יִבְּל . יִבְּל . יִבְּל . יַבְּל . יַבְּל . יִבְּל . יִבְל

Gunkel and many others identified the הַּבְּים with Rahab, the sea monster mentioned in Pss 87:4 (Ρααβ); 89:10 (MT 89: 11) (ὑπερήφανον "proud ones"); Job 9:13 and 26:12 (κήτη/κῆτος "sea monster"); Isa 30:7 (ματαία "vain"); and Isa 51:9 (which lacks a corresponding word in the Greek).

The ματαιότητας "vanities" in the Septuagint and the κόρος το (sērîqûta³) "vanity, nothingness" in the Syriac of

Psa 40:4 suggested for Briggs (1906: 358; BDB 923) and others a *Vorlage* with הבלים/הבל for the MT הְבָּיִם. But the translation of both הבל and הבל in Isa 30:7 as μάταια/ ματαία "vain" and as הבל (sērîq/sērîqûta³) "vanity," supports the integrity of the MT הַבְּיִם in 40:4.

In light of the Arabic راب/ريب (raib/râba) "it made me to doubt, to be suspicious, to be skeptical,"ريب (rayb) "disquiet, a disturbance, or agitation of the mind . . . an evil opinion," and مرتاب (murtâb) "a sceptic in matters of religion," (Lane 1867: 1197–1198), one can postulate the Hebrew root דר stem II, and its by-form בהן, which would be the cognate of Arabic (rahiba/arhab) "he feared, he frightened" (BDB 923; KBS 3: 1192), as in the phrase لم أرهب بك (lam 'urhab bika) "[lit. I was not frightened by thee]; meaning, I did not see in thee what induced in me doubt, or suspicion, or evil opinion" (Lane (1867: 1168).

Consequently, the MT רהבים has four possible meanings: (1) proud, boisterous, frightening (2) the "Rahabeans," i.e., devotees of the mythical sea monster Rahab who was also known as Leviathan and Lotan, (3) "vain, useless, worthless," as understood by the Greek and Syriac translators who took בהם to be a synonym of הבל , and (4) "doubtful, suspicious, skeptical." Given the positive references to those who trust (הַבְּשָׁח/ בְּשַׁח) in 40:3–4, a negative reference to skeptics and doubters (הַבְּבִים) in 40:5 would be contextually appropriate.

The MT שָׁטֵּי is generally translated as "those who turn aside" or "go astray" or "lapse into," and is derived from שוּט, a by-form of שְּׁטֶּה/שִּׁטְה "to swerve, to fall away" (BDB 962; KBS 4:1439). But the Septuagint translators interpreted it

differently. The $\mu\alpha\nu$ ίας of 40:4 (39:4) is marked with a † in Hatch and Redpath (1897: 895), as though there were no corresponding word for it in the MT (like the מַשְּׁמֶלְהָּ [= $\mu\alpha\nu$ ίας] appearing twice in Hos 9:7–8). But the שֵׁלֵא "to become demented" and the שֵׁלֵא שִׁלֵּא "שֵׁלֵא" "madman, fool" (as cited in Jastrow 1531, 1553) is a good match for the Septuagint's $\mu\alpha\nu$ ίας $\psi\epsilon\nu\delta\epsilon$ ις "false frenzies." 18

However, the MT בְּזֶב is singular and the שֵׁמֵי is a plural construct (or an Aramaic singular absolute) without a preposition, meaning literally "ones avoiding a lie." Thus, "false frenzies" (LXX), "lying follies" (DRA), "such as turn to lies" (KJV, ASV, NKJ) and "those who lapse into falsehood" (NAS, NAU)—which add the preposition "to" or "into"—are not literal translations.

Given the well attested confusion of ' and ' (Delitzsch 1920: 111 §109°), the שֵׁשֵׁ in 40:4 may have been originally the "scribe, official, a writ, a document" (BDB 1009; Jastrow 1555; KBS 4: 1441, 1475). The Syriac cognate carries nuances of "talking foolishly, to lose one's senses," as well as a promissory note, bond, or deed (Payne Smith 574). The Arabic cognate is سطر (saṭara) "he composed lies, false-hoods" and سطر (saṭr/ usṭûrat) "lies, or falsehoods; or fictions, or stories having no foundation or no right tendency or tenour . . . [such as we commonly term legends] . . . written stories or their written lies" (Lane 1872: 1357–1358). Wehr (1979: 477) included the terms "fable, saga, myth," as well as "legend."

Thus, instead of paraphrasing בְּוֶב (which literally can mean only "those avoiding a lie" or "the fools of a lie") to "those who go astray after false gods," the restored שַׁטֵּר בָּוָב

means quite literally a "lying legend" or "misleading myth" (written or oral). This interpretation shifts the focus away from what was at best an allusion to *idols* to an explicit reference to the *myths* which challenged the traditions of Israelite monotheism. It was not about what skilled artisans crafted with their hands, but about what poets composed and mythologists wrote: empty words, vain thoughts, false fables—all of them

Psa 40:6 (MT 40:7; LXX 39:6)

זֶבַח וּמִנְחָה לֹא־חְפַּצְּתְּ אָזְנֵים כָּרִיתְ לִּי עוֹלָה וַחֲטָאָה לֹא שָׁאָלְתִּ:

Sacrifice and offering you desired not.

Ears you dug for me.

Burnt offering and sin offering you did not require.

Greek Texts of Psa 39:7 (MT 40:7)

θυσίαν καὶ προσφορὰν οὐκ ἠθέλησας Sacrifice and offering you desired not.

σῶμα δὲ κατηρτίσω μοι (🍎 A B S)

But a body you restored for me

ἄτια δὲ ἔσκαψας μοι (Aquila)

But ears you covered/protected for me

ἄτια δὲ κατεσκεύασάς μοι (Symmachus)

But ears you prepared for me

ἄτια κατηρτίσω μοι (Theodotian)

But ears you restored to me

όλοκαύτωμα καὶ περὶ άμαρτίας οὐκ ἤτησας Burnt-offering and sacrifice for sin you required not

Briggs (1906: 358) cited a number of proposed emendations, like reading עצם "bone, body, self" for "ears," and offered his own emendation of אז ברית לי "then had I the covenant." His assertions that "\$\mathcal{G}\$ translates as if it rd.

לוֹנָגוּהְ (sic) and had supplied the obj." and "שׁ mistook . . . זא for אוֹן "are, in my opinion, mistaken. Although his recognition that "שׁ mistook בֹ for בֹ" was correct, the original spelling of the MT בְּרִיתְ "you dug" was not בְּרִיתְ "covenant" but the Pi^cel "בִּרִיתְ "you set free" (discussed below on page 133).

Dahood (1966: 246), by (1) reading בְּרַיק "you cut, you circumcised" for MT בְּרִיקְ "you bored" and by (2) appealing to the עַרֵיק לִי "their uncircumcised ear" in Jer 6:10, paraphrased the אַזְנִים בְּרִיק לִי as "so you made my ear receptive" (to divine inspiration). However, had the psalmist intended to say "you circumcised ears for me," the noun עַרְלָּה "foreskin" and/or the verb מול (שנו 10:16), used for the circumcising of the foreskin of the heart (Deut 10:16), as well as the foreskin of the flesh (Gen 17:11), would surely have been used.²⁰

In light of the occasional confusion of \square and \square , ²¹ I would emend the MT בְּרִיתְ "you dug" to בֵּרִיתְ and derived it from \square , stem III, ²² the cognate of

- Aramaic ברא/ברי to get well, recover, to be strong or stout"; בְּרִיא "to make well"; and בְּרִיא "healthy, strong, stout" (Jastrow 192; KBS 1: 154) and
- Arabic (baraha) "his body returned to a healthy state, or his health of body returned to him, or his bodily condition became good, after having been altered by disease."
- Arabic nouns أبن (abrahu) and بارئ (bâriy) meaning respectively "having the body in a healthy state" and "recovering from disease, sickness or malady" (Lane 1863: 179, 196; Castell 1669: 431 rendered it "convaluit").

Consequently, the σῶμα δὲ κατηρτίσω μοι, "but a body you restored for me," in \$\mathbb{G}^{ABS}\$ and the \$\lambda\$ לביה אבים לפנה would be very literal translations of the restored בּרֵרָה, without a separate word like בּרֵרָה or עֵצֵע or עֵצֵע or פּנֵרָר for the σῶμα.

The lack of any word in these Greek and Syriac translations for the MT אָזְנֵיִם is due to a confusion in the respective *Vorlagen* of (1) a ז and ¬, (2) a ' and ¬, and (3) a ¬ and ¬, and ¬

Given the implicit reference to healing in 40:2, "he drew me up from the the burial pit," a reference to restored health in 40:6 would be contextually very appropriate. But the immediate context of the אזנים ברית לי in 40:6 deals with the sacrifices and offerings, suggesting that this difficult phrase has more to do with sin than with sickness. (The translations of the $\mathfrak{G}^{\mathrm{Aq}\theta\,\Sigma}$ and of the *Peshiṭta*, cited above, are obviously corrections to the MT.)

The אונים ברית לי in Psa 40:6b is the psalmist' assertion that he was free of the אונים "vices/faults" which plagued him. Once קרים, is emended to ברים, the verb ברים, discussed above on page 131, comes back into focus. Not only can it mean "(God) restored him to convalescence," but, like its Arabic cognate (bara'a), it could also mean "he was, or became clear or free," and a causative Pi^cel ברים (like the Arabic form IV) would mean "(God) made one guiltless . . . free from the fault, defect, imperfection, blemish, or vice." The noun ברה (bariy'), would signify "clear of evil qualities or dispositions; shunning what is vain and false . . . pure in heart from associating any with God," as well as signifying "sound in body and intellect." 26

Moreover, the psalmist was made guiltless and became free of faults and vices by the sheer grace of God. Perhaps the clearest statements in the psalm are those in 6a and 6c (MT 7a and 7c):

זַבַח וּמִנְחָה לֹא־חָפַצִּתָּ

θυσίαν καὶ προσφορὰν οὐκ ἠθέλησας Sacrifice and offering you desired not

ὁλοκαύτωμα καὶ περὶ ἁμαρτίας οὐκ ἤτησας Burnt-offering and sacrifice for sin you required not.

The psalmist was not making a forensic repudiation of the sacrificial cult²⁷ but was sharing a personal experience. He had been near death, but was healed; he was overwhelmed by his iniquities, but was made guiltless, pure, and free. All of this without a sacrifice or an offering having been made. God's help (שֵּיִבְּהָר) and deliverance (שֵּׁיִבְּהַ) had been free for the asking. But once healing and forgiveness was received the psalmist responded with a pledge to declare "the glad news of deliverance in the great congregation."

The pledge lies hidden in 40:7a (MT 8a) in the phrase אַרָּאָרִי הַנָּה־בְּאָתִי "then I said, 'behold I came.'" While the MT אָז אָמַרְתִּי הַנָּה־בָּאִתִי has been derived from the common verb "נס come," it is better derived in this context from "ג, stem II, which is the cognate of Arabic $(bawa^{\circ}a/b\hat{a}^{\circ}a)$ " he returned . . . he made himself answerable, responsible, or accountable for it [sin] by an inseparable obligation, . . . he acknowledged it or confessed it." In prayer one says לובל אַבּיבּיבּיל ($ab\hat{u}^{\circ}u^{\circ}ilayka\ bini^{c}matika$) "I acknowledge, or confess, to thee thy favour [towards me, as imposing an obligation upon me]" (Lane 1863: 270–271; Castell 1669: 299 translated it "reversus fuit . . . confessus fuit"). The first part

of this prayer could well have been a paraphrase of the psalmist words in 40:7a-8

הַנָּה־בָּאתִי הְנָשׁוֹת־רְצוֹנְךְ אֱלֹהֵי חָפְּצְתִּי וְתוֹרְתְךְ בְּתוֹךְ מֵעִי Behold I confessed: "to do your will, O my God, is my desire your Law has been internalized."³⁰

Psa 40:7-9 (LXX 39:7-9) and Heb 10:5-9

The quotation of the Psa 40:7–9 (MT) in Heb 10:5–9 follows the Greek text rather than the Hebrew text. But the quotation is not an exact quotation. Several differences suggest that the author of Hebrews was working from memory. They are (1) the singular ὁλοκαυτώμα "burnt offering" (39:7) became the plural ὁλοκαυτώματα (10:6); (2) the οὐκ ἠθέλησας "you did not desire" in 39:7 became οὐκ εὐδόκησας "you did not find pleasure in" (10:5); (3) the τοῦ ποιῆσαι τὸ θέλημά σου ὁ θεός μου ἐβουλήθην "to do your will, O my God, I desire" (39:8b) became simply οῦ ποιῆσαι ὁ θεὸς τὸ θέλημά σου "to do, O God, your will" (10:7); and (4) the ἄτια "ears" of \$\mathbb{G}^{AqθΣ}(39:7)\$—like the \$\mathbb{L}\$\$\mathbf{L}\$\$\mathbf{T}\$\$\mathbb{C}\$\$ (and a desire") "ear" of the \$Peshitta\$ and in the margin of the \$Syro-Hexapla\$—became σῶμα "body" as in the \$\mathbb{G}^{ABS}\$.

Jobes (1991: 387–396) argued that these variations were the "intentional and creative rhetorical product of the author" of the epistle and that they were not already in the Greek translation of the OT in the first century. If this is true, the appearance of $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ in Ps 40,7 in all extant Greek manuscripts implies that Christian scribes 'corrected' the text of Ps 40 in subsequent manuscripts to agree with the quotation by the author of Hebrews (388).

Jobes based her argument on "the principles of first-century rhetoric" which, according to the *Institutio Oratoria* of Quintillian, included, among other options, the use of paronomasia.³¹ She noted

With each variation the author [of Hebrews] has achieved a phonetic assonance between the variant and another element in the quotation. This phonetic assonance functions to denote linguistic highlighting, or marked prominence, for that pairing (390).³²

But the question as to why the author of Hebrews restricted his use of good Quintillian rhetorical devices to just the "phonetic manipulation" of six quotations from the Septuagint is not addressed. One would expect the entire epistle to abound with euphony, homoeoteleuton, cadence, paronomasia, and *parison* if that were the author's rhetorical style.

Moreover, if, as Jobes argued, Christian scribes 'corrected' all extant Greek texts of Psa 40:7, how did they miss the \Del{Gamma} in $\Del{Gamma}^{Aq\Theta\Sigma}$, and why was this Psalm 40 singled out for correction and the many, many other variants, like those in Matt 4:16 and Isa 9:2, 33 not corrected? Lastly, why would Christian scribes be indifferent to the variants between the Psalm 40 (LXX 39:14–18) and its quotation of Psalm 70 (LXX 69: 2–6)?

Four of the five questions posited by Jobes in the first paragraph of her study require a "yes" answer: (1) the variations

under review were present in the Greek Vorlage use by the author of the Hebrews; (2) the Hebrew Vorlage used by the Septuagint translators (אדנין בריח) did differ from the MT (אזנים כריח); (3) the LXX variant $\sigma\omega\mu\hat{\alpha}$, instead of $\check{\omega}\tau\iota\alpha$ (= α), definitely served the author's Christological argument in a way the Hebrew text could not; and (4) the abbreviated statement in 10:7, "I have come to do your will," did serve the author's soteriological assertion that "by which will (ἐν ῷ θελήματι) we have been sanctified through the offering of the body of Jesus Christ once for all (ἐφάπαξ) (10:7).

Jobes' question about the variants being evidence of the author's lapse of memory requires a "no" answer. The variations in Heb10:5 and Psa 39:6 (LXX) definitely do *not* reflect a lapse of memory. Rather, the variants reflect the author's freedom to paraphrase with all the rights and privileges of a Targumist—which is not surprising given the fact that this Epistle $\Pi\rho\delta\varsigma$ 'E $\beta\rho\alpha$ ίους was, in the words of Buchanan (1976: xix, xxi), a "homiletical midrash based on Ps 110." The epistle received the title $\Pi\rho\delta\varsigma$ 'E $\beta\rho\alpha$ ίους because of its midrashic literary style and content. Buchanan well noted

Midrashic composers were resourceful apologists with amazing skill in manipulating words, phrases, and passages to suit their own need in ways that were far removed from the original meaning of the text.

The author of Hebrews (whoever he was) and the original community of Christians to whom he wrote (whoever they were)³⁴ were obiviously more attuned to the rhetoric of the *Targumim* and *Midrashim* than to the canons of Quintillian elocution.

SUMMARY

As rearranged in this study Psalm 40 was balanced by a lengthy quotation used as the introduction and a second, shorter quotation which provided the psalm's conclusion. The introductory quotation was from the "scroll of scripture" which contained what is now Psalm 70 in the canonical Psalter. The "poor and needy" author of Psalm 40 identified with the words penned by an earlier "poor and needy" psalmist and made those words the prelude of his own psalm.

Between the introductory borrowed quotation (five verses) and the psalmist's own concluding personal public confessions of his faith and God's faithfulness (three verses) was the main body of the psalm (eight verses). One verse introduced the two quotations: "In the scroll of scripture it is written about me" (7b) preceded the introduction, and "Whereupon I said: 'Behold, I have confessed'" (7b) preceded the closing quotation.

The eight verses making up the main body of the psalm include eight elements in this order: (1) a plea for Yahweh's mercy, (2) a confession of sin followed by a patient wait for God's help, (3) God's deliverance of the psalmist from a grave illness, (4) the psalmist sings the praises of God in whom he puts his trust, (5) a blessing for those who trust Yahweh rather than trusting scary skeptics or misleading myths, (6) an affirmation of the incomparable goodness of God, (7) recognition that God does not require sacrifices and offerings, and (8) the psalmist' recognition that he has been freed from his sins, faults, and vices by the sheer grace of God.

A number of Arabic cognates help to clarify ambiguities and variant readings in the Greek, Syriac, and Hebrew texts of Psalms 40 and 70, as well as Heb 10:5–7 and Isa 24:12. These cognates are (in Arabic alphabetical order)

- ∫ (bara³a) the cognate of ברה / ברא (to restore the body," found in Vorlage of the LXX (39:7) which has σῶμα δὲ κατηρτίσω; whereas the MT (40:7) has "το dig."
- יע (baraha) a by-form of לַב (bara³a), the cognate of ברה (to make pure, guiltless, to heal the body," found in the restored Hebrew text of 40:7 (MT 40:8).
- לֹּשׁלִּשׁלֹּשׁ ($bawa^{\circ}a/b\hat{a}^{\circ}a$) the cognate of בוא, stem II, "to confess," found in 40:7 (MT 40:8).
- עַּאָה/שֶׁוָה (matwan) the cognate of שַׁאָּה/שֶׁוָה and the noun מּפָט in Isa 24:12 (LXX οἶκος), as well as the שַׁאִּיְה (final) resting place" in Psa 40:2 (MT 40:3).
- ניבי/כֹּוֹני (dân/dîn) the cognate אזין/אזן (= אזין/אזן (אזין/אזן (אזין/אזן "vice, fault, defect" as restored from the אזנים "ear" in 40:6 (MT 40:7).
- עלי (raib/râba) "to doubt, to be suspicious, to be skeptical," עבי (rayb) "disquiet, a disturbance, or agitation of the mind . . . an evil opinion," the cognate of the בַּבִּים in 40:4 "sceptics (in matters of religion)."
- שלע "a writer, a writer of lies, legends" and שֶּׁשֶׁר myths" in 40:4 (MT 40:5) when the MT שֵׁשֶׁר is restored to שֵׁשֵּׁר סר

The working Hebrew vocabulary of the Septuagint translators included בָּרָה "to heal the body" and שַּאָיָה "resting

place, home," two words which have have gone unrecognized in the current lexicons of Biblical Hebrew. Had this בְּרָה survived in post-biblical Hebrew—as its cognate survived in Arabic—there would have been no need for all the speculation of how Hebrew אונים (= ἀτία = ears) ended up in the Septuagint as σῶμα "body." Other words still needing to be added to the Hebrew lexicons include בְּרָא "to confess," עֻאוֹן "(burial) place," און "vice," and דָּרָבּ "skeptic."

Ambiguities in handwriting contributed to a number of variants, like the אונים being read as אדיין ($=\delta \grave{\epsilon}=$ then) and the confusion of \square and \square , and \square , and \square , etc. The ambiguity of homographs like שטי , בוא , בוא , and \square also contributed to a number of poor translations.

NOTES

1. Dalglish (1962: 237–238) noted "... the phrase לְנֵבֶּים appears to indicate that the lutes and lyres were to lead the voices of the singers" and cited the following exhortation in Egyptian to schoolboys, dating from the Nineteenth Dynasty:

You have been taught to sing to the pipe and to chant to the w(3)r-flute, to intone to the lyre (knnr), and to sing to the nezekh(nth) = 122.

Dalglish concluded:

The phrase לֵנְצֵּחְ may then be translated "for the director of strings" or, if we adopt Ewald's suggestion [1881: 340] that it should be regarded as a neuter formation, we may translate the phrase "for string rendition."

The Syriac (něṣaḥ) "to celebrate, to triumph" when used of the voice meant "clear, sonorous" (Payne Smith 1903: 348), a

definition which would also fit well the Egyptian exhortation cited above. This cognate is the basis for my translation.

- 2. See Dalglish (1962: 239), who cited (1) Dossin (1938: 110), "le terme *dâwidum* semble avoir désigné le 'chef suprème', une sorte de 'grand cheikh' de tribu, le maître d'un pays ou d'une ville"; and (2) Engnell (1943: 176) ". . . the term 'the which will be interpreted as a technical term meaning 'for the king'. . . . it is used, as a matter of fact, in the O. T. simply as a title of the reigning king, as can be seen in a lot of text passages" [like Hos 3:5; Jer 33:26; and Ezek 37:24–25].
- 3. Note the אַזְבִּיר "memorial offering" in Lev 2:2, 9, 16; 5:12; 6:8; 24:7; Num 5:26. The הַזְבִּיר in Psa 70:1 could well be a variant spelling of
- 4. For variations of this imperative addressed to God, compare Jdg 16:28 and Psalm 106:4 (זֶבֶרֶנִי); Neh 13: 14, 22, 31 (זֶבֶרֶנָי); and Job 10:9 (זֶבֶרְנָאַ).
- 5. The RSV, NRS, NJB added "be pleased"; the NLT added just "please"; whereas the KJV and NKJ added "make haste"; the NAS, NIB and NAU added just "hasten." The NAB gratuitously added "graciously."
- 6. For variants within the manuscripts of each psalm, see the notes in BHS.
- 7. For the confusion ☐ and ☐ see Delitzsch 1920: 113–114 §114a-c.
- 8. For the confusion $^{\bullet}$ and $\overline{\Lambda}$ see Delitzsch 1920: 114 §116 a 117.
- 9. No examples of a confusion of ¬ and ¬ were cited by Delitzsch. The MT שַּׁחְיֵי became in 𝔞 39:18 φροντιεῖ "consider." The MT הַשְּׁחַ—which was rendered in 𝔞 39:14 as πρόσχες "give

- heed" and in \mathfrak{G}^{A} as $\sigma \pi \in \hat{\nu} \sigma o \nu$ "hasten"—was translated in $\mathfrak{G}^{B1 S2}$ in 69:1 as $\sigma \pi \in \hat{\nu} \sigma o \nu$ and in \mathfrak{G}^{S1} as $\theta \in \lambda \eta \sigma o \nu$ "be pleased."
- 10. See Briggs 1906: 355 and Anderson 1972: 318. Other occurrences of מְנְלֵח־סָפֶּר include Jer 36:2, 4 and Ezek 2:9.
- 11. MT בְּתוֹךְ מֵעֶי "within my bowels." See note 30.
- 12. Note Psa 31:17–18, 94:17, 114:17, and Isa 47:5, all of which speak of the silence in Sheol.
- 13. The MT שַׁשִּׁ was obviously read as the equivalent of ישָׁשִׁ "to remain" (= ἐγκαταλείπω). Like אשׁ and שׁעה "to gaze" (BDB 981, 1043), שׁשׁ and שׁעֹר could be by-forms, although it is more likely that a שׁער and שׁער variation simply reflects an aural error once the שׁ was softened into a glottal stop.
- 14. Note Ecc 1:2, ματαιότης ματαιοτήτων "vanity of vanities."
- 15. See Hatch and Redpath 1897: 899. In Zech 11:17, רֹעִי הָאֱלִיל "my worthless shepherd" became οἱ ποιμαίνοντες τὰ μάταια "the worthless shepherds" in the Septuagint. But the "idol shepherd" of the KJV here notwithstanding, the רֹעֵי הָאֱלִיל , like the κχις ἀπείρου "unskilled shepherd") of 11:15, was not an idol or a god but an earthly monarch.
- 16. Note also Wehr (1979: 420) who cited forms II and IV meaning "to terrorize"; ارهابی (°irhâb) terrorism"; and ارهابی (°irhâbî) "terrorist."
- 17. The Peshitta has רבעלא (mamlělā° dagāl) "lying speech," apparently reading שמי or אם for MT שמי.
- 18. None of the other possibile derivations (including "יָשְׁ "turn aside"; שוֹשׁ "to go, to rove/row about"; שׁוֹשׁ "scourage, whip";

שׁרְשׁ "treat with despite"; or שְׁשָׁ "acacia, tree and wood") correspond to the Greek μανίας.

- 19. Field 1964: 151.
- 20. A person with a בְּרוֹת שֶׁבְּכְה "severed penis" could not enter the assembly (Deut 23:1), suggesting that the verbs בְּרַת and מוּל were not fully interchangeable.
- 21. See Delitzsch 1920: 110 §107^{a-c}.
- 22. ברה "to eat" is stem I; ברה "to bind" is stem II, which is the base of ברה "ככי "covenant." Hebrew and Aramaic ברה "ברא ברה "to perforate, to hollow out," not to mention ברא "to create" (see Jastrow 192).
- 23. For the confusion of (1) ז and אחד/אחד variants in I Chron 24:6), (2) מְּבְּנִינִם/מְבְּנֵיִים (like the מְבְּנִינִים/מְבְּנִיִּים Qere/ Kethib in Pro 3:15); and (3) ז and נוֹ (like the בְּמְהָם/ בִּמְהָם/ עמוֹ variant in II Sam 19:41), see Delitzsch 1920: 111–112 §110a; 116–119 §120^b, 128^a, and 131.
- 24. See Jastrow 16, 1044 and BDB 725, noting also the שֵׁדֶנֶה byform.
- 25. Its synonyms are ذيب (dîb), ذيب (dîm), and نموم (dumûm) which Castell defined as ذين (dîn) "culpa, labes, ignominiosus," (dîb) "macula, vitium," and ذيب (dîb) "vitium, vituperium."
- 26. Compare Castell (1669: 425–426) who rendered it "liberavit, absolvit, . . . justificavit . . . convaluit à morbo, sanatus fuit."
- 27. For statements repudiating sacrifice note I Sam 15:22; Psa 50: 7–15 (with the initial x of vs. 8 being read as the emphatic x "I do *indeed* reprove you . . ."); Psa 51:16–17; Psa 69:30–33; Isa

- 1:11–17; 66:1–4; Jer 7:21–23; Hosea 6:6; 8:13; Amos 5:21–25; and Micah 6:6–8.
- 28. The *Qal* perfect "I came" has been translated as a present tense "I come" (KJV, RSV, NKJV, NAS, NAU), "I am coming" (NJB). This translates well the present indicative ήκω of the Septuagint and Heb 10:5, but not the MT. The NRS has simply "I am."
- 29. BDB (97) noted this cognate but limited the Arabic definition to the one word "return"; and KBS (1: 112) cited only "to return."
- 30. MT בְּתוֹךְ מֵעֵי means literally, "in the midst of my bowels." It was used figureatively for the seat of emotions.
- 31. Tertium est genus figurarum quod aut similitudine aliqua vocum aut paribus aut contrariis convertit in se aures et animos excitat. Hinc est paronomasia quae dicitur adnominatio. Book 9: 3: 66. See http://www.thelatinlibrary.com/quintilian.html.
- 32. The careful reader of Jobes study will note the variant she introduced on page 395 line 17, "to do you (*sic*) will," my God, I desire," apparently as a rhetorical devise to highlight the corresponding assonance of the *ou* of the English *you* and the ov of the Greek σov. To interpret this variant simply as a typographical error for an intended "your" would preclude proper appreciation of the author's deliberate use of a rhetorical device which would enhance the reader's memory of her argument.
- 33. Matt 4:16
 λαὸς ὁ καθήμενος ὁ λ
 ἐν σκότει ἐν
 φῶς εἶδεν μέγα, ἴδι
 καὶ τοῖς καθημένοις οἱ
 ἐν χώρα ἐν
 καὶ σκιῷ θανάτου κα
 φῶς ἀνέτειλεν αὐτοῖς.

Isa 9:2 ο λαὸς ο πορευόμενος ἐν σκότει ἄδετε φῶς μέγα οἱ κατοικοῦντες ἐν χώρᾳ καὶ σκιᾳ θανάτου φῶς λάμψει ἐφ' υμᾶς

The people who sat in darkness have seen a great light, And upon those who sat in the land and shadow of death Light has dawned.

O people walking in darkness, behold a great light you that dwell in the land and shadow of death a light shall shine upon you.

34. See Moffat 1924: xiv-xvii.