## XIV

# "ADORE WITHOUT RESTRAINT, WORSHIP WITH FIDELITY" PSALM 2:11-12a

# INTRODUCTION

When it comes to the English translations, KJV, NKJ, NIV and NIB ("kiss the Son") followed the MT and Syriac—but with a Christological twist having "the Son" for "a son." The NAS ("do homage to the Son") followed Symmachus and Jerome, as did the NLT paraphrase ("submit to God's royal son"). But the YLT followed Aquila ("kiss the Chosen One"). The RSV, NRSV, and NJB have "kiss his feet," adopting the emendation of Bertholet (1908: 59) who combined "בּרֶלֶ "son" and בּרֶלֶל "and rejoice" to create בּרֶלֶל "on his feet" in order to create a motif of homage: "kiss on his [God's] feet." 6

More recent scholars have suggested other changes. Dahood (1966: 13), revocalized the MT to נָשֵׁי קָבַר "men of the

grave" and translated "O mortal men!" Mackintosh (1976: 14) proposed deleting 기교 and translated 한다고 as "order yourselves (properly)/be (properly) governed." Oloffson (1995: 199) opted for 기교 "field" instead of 기교 "son," since "kissing a field" was yet another way to pay homage.

# ASSISTANCE FROM ARABIC

Oloffson (1976: 5) summarized the theories how Arabic לביל (jûl) "to circulate, to roam" and בל (wajila) "to fear" were related to "ג'ל "to rejoice." He argued unconvincingly that following the 'Addād phenomena in Arabic, where a word may have opposite meanings, "to rejoice" could also mean "to fear," thus harmonizing the verb and adverb in order to translate the דולו ברעדו of 2:11 as "and shew fear with trembling." But missing from his discussion was any reference to the Arabic בול (jalla) "to honor, to dignify, and to exalt the majesty of God," with בל (jalâl) "extreme greatness" being an attribute of God (Lane 1865: 436; Wehr 1979: 152). By simply removing the vowel letter, MT אורי בוראו "and magnify [Him]," which logically follows the אורי בוראו "serve/worship Yahweh with reverence" in 2:11a (BDB 432, sub voce 3).

The MT בְּלֶּעְדָה has usually been translated as "trembling" (= τρόμω in the Septuagint and tremore in the Vulgate), with אין being the cognate of Arabic (raʿada) "to thunder, to terrify" (BDB 944). But in this context אין is probably the cognate of (raǵada) "it became ample and unrestrained" and (raǵada) "plentiful, pleasant, easy" (Lane 1867: 1105; 1112). With these cognates in focus, 2:11a can be translated "worship Yahweh in reverence, adore with unrestraint!"

The Septuagint's δράχασθε "seize" comes from a Vorlage having בשו or בשו "seize!" for the Masoretic "kiss!" (Jastrow 1903: 912, 935). The interchange of ρ and בוֹ is attested not only with שבו and שבו, but in a number of other words like שבושם and בשבו "to knock, to shake" and דָבֶן "to crush." In light of this well attested interchange, it is reasonable to assume that בשבו could be a variant for בשבו; and if so, בשבו would be the cognate of בשבו (nasa-ka) "he worshiped" (Lane 1893: 3032; Wehr 1979: 1129).

## **CONCLUSION**

Simply by reading וְגִילוּ, the MT of Psa 2:10–12a can be translated

Now therefore, O kings, be wise, be warned, O rulers of the earth; worship Yahweh in awe, and adore without restraint, worship with fidelity.

This understanding of the Hebrew supports Jerome's *adorate pure* and Symmachus' προσκυνήσατε καθαρώς "worship in purity."

Cloaked in a psalm speaking of Israel's imperial aspirations is an invitation to the earthly kings to share in Israel's faith—though not necessarily in Israel's religion and cultus. Even though the invitation was extended in the shadow of derision and threats, it was an invitation, nonetheless, for all kings (and presumably their kingdoms) to find refuge in Yahweh. As much as there are hints of "forced conversions," like "lest they perish" (in 2:11b), there is a vision of earthly peace made possible by having a shared faith. Unfortunately, the invitation to share a faith was commingled with an ultimatum to submit to Israelite hegemony.

## **NOTES**

- 1. In 2:7b בן, not בר, was used: בְּנִי אַּתָה אָנִי הַיּוֹם יְלְדְתִּיךְ "you are my son, this day I have begotten you."
- 2. Note Ezek 21:19 (MT 21:24) where אָרוּ־לְּכֶם "choose" appears twice in the KJV and I Sam 17:8 where אַישׁ was rendered פֿרוּ־לְכֶם אִישׁ was rendered פֿרּגלּבָּמסθּ בְּמִידֹסוֹג מֵνδρα "choose for yourselves a man" in the Septuagint.
- 3. The Septuagint  $\pi\alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i \alpha s$  "instruction" may reflect an internal Greek corruption of  $\pi\alpha \iota \delta i \circ v$ , which ordinarily translated יל or סדט, all words for young children, and it would be the most likely word to translate "son." If not an internal corruption,  $\pi\alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i \alpha s$  may be the fruit of a syllogism:  $\pi\alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i \alpha s$  may be the fruit of a syllogism:  $\pi\alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i \alpha s$  "instruction." It is, therefore, unnecessary to posit a different Vorlage for the Septuagint.

## 124 "ADORE WITHOUT RESTRAINT"

- 4. The Vulgate, following the Septuagint, did not give שב any Christological significance. The Targumist may have associated the אַרְיָהָא, the term for those traditions and opinions not embodied in the Mishnah. (See Jastrow 189.)
- 5. Although προσκυνέω means "to make obeisance to the gods or their images" or "prostrating oneself before kings and superiors" κυνέω means "to kiss," leading Liddell and Scott (1966: 1518) to comment that perhaps originally προσκυνέω meant "throw a kiss to the god . . . (and) the gesture is probably represented in Sumerian and Babylonian art monuments."
- 6. Mackintosh (1976: 13) convincingly noted
  - . . . considerable difficulty attaches to the view that the dissident rulers are exhorted to kiss the feet of Yahweh; to introduce so gross an anthropomorphism is implausible and the attempt to interpret the words metaphorically is unlikely.
- 7. Mackintosh (1976: 11, 14) interpreted נשק in light of Arabic imasaqa) "to arrange, to set (pearls) in order" (Wehr 1979: 1129).
- 8. The absence of the emphatic  $\aleph$  suffix  $(=\aleph \lnot \sqsupset)$  on this Aramaic loanword or the Hebrew definite article  $(=\lnot \lnot \lnot)$ , like the  $\lnot \lnot \lnot$  in 2:7b, as well as the absence of the  $\lnot \end{dcases}$  prefixed to a definite noun, indicate that the translation of  $\lnot \lnot$  as the definite "the Son" is a purely subjective rendering.