

CLARIFYING MORE
BAFFLING
BIBLICAL PASSAGES

CHAPTER TWENTY TWO

“THE MEANING OF
EPHRATHAH”

Thomas F. McDaniel, Ph.D.

©

2008

All Rights Reserved

XXII

THE MEANING OF EPHRATHAH

Micah 5:1 (English 5:2)

tAyh.l ry[c' htrpa, ~x.l ;tyBe hTaw>
yli ^Mmi hdWly>ypd aB.
I ac'fyB. l vAm tAyh.li ac'f
~l A[ymami ~dQmi wyt'acAmW

But you, O Bethlehem Ephrathah, who are little to be among the clans of Judah, from you shall come forth for me one who is to be ruler in Israel, whose origin is from of old, from the days of antiquity.

SEPTUAGINT

kai. su, Bhqleem oikoj tou/ Efraqa oligostoj ei=tou/
einai en ciliasin louda ek sou/ moi
exeleusetai tou/einai eij arconta en tw/ Israhl
kai. ai` exodoi autou/ apl' archj ex hmerwh aiw/hoj

And you, Bethlehem, house of Ephrathah, are few in number to be among the thousands of Judah; out of you shall one come forth to me, to be a ruler of Israel; and his goings forth were from the beginning, from days of old.¹

The first step in determining the etymology of the name *Ephrathah* (*htrpa*) and the gentilic *Ephrathite* (*ytrpa*) is to recognize the occasional interchange in Hebrew of the **t** and the **j**. The following examples are widely recognized:

- **h[t'** “to err, to go astray” and **h[j'** (= **a[j'**, **W[j'**, **y[j'**) “to stray, to wander” (BDB 380, 1073; Jastrow 542, 1683)
- **@tX'** “to seize, snatch away” and **@jX'** “to seize, to rob,

to catch” (BDB 310, 369; Jastrow 450)

- $\text{lj} \text{q}'$ “to kill,” Aramaic ltq , Arabic $\text{q}^{\text{a}}(\text{q})$ (*qatala*), and Ethiopic HmZ (*qatala*) “to kill” (BDB 881).

The root of $\text{htr}^{\text{b}}\text{pa}$, can be identified as trp , which has been augmented with a prosthetic a and the feminine suffix h or the locative h (= eij).² This trp was used for the river Euphrates (tr^{p}) and is probably related to the Arabic $\text{*}\text{?c}$ (*furat*) “sweet,” a term applied to water “that subdues thirst by its excessive sweetness” (Lane 6: 2358). But “sweet water”—whether it be a clan name or a place name—does not fit the context of Micah 5:1 or the geography of the Judean hill country. However, with the t/j variable in focus, a very satisfactory derivation of Ephrathah is available once (1) trp is recognized as a variant of jrp and (2) $\text{htr}^{\text{b}}\text{pa}$, (or $\text{tr}^{\text{b}}\text{pa}$, as in Gen 48:7) are treated as the equivalents of the conjectured variants $\text{hj}^{\text{r}}\text{pa}$, and $\text{j}^{\text{r}}\text{pa}$,

The noun $\text{j}^{\text{r}}\text{p}$. means “that which is singled out,” and the verb $\text{j}^{\text{r}}\text{p}$. means “to specify, to designate” or “to belittle.” Related nouns are $\sim\text{Wj}^{\text{r}}\text{p}$, “a small portion” and $\text{hj}^{\text{r}}\text{p}/\text{hj}^{\text{r}}\text{p}$. “small change, a small coin,” which are cognates of the Arabic $\text{S}^{\text{?c}}$ (*farṭ^{um}*) “small coin, change” (Jastrow 1219, 1224, 1225; Wehr 827; BDB 827).

Most relevant for Micah 5:2 are the Arabic cognates $\text{S}^{\text{?c}}$ (*farāṭa*) “he preceded, he was or became first, foremost,” $\text{S}^{\text{?c}}$ (*farṭ^{um}*) “mastery, ascendancy, prevalence, or predominance,” and $\text{S}^{\text{@c}}$ (*fâṭ^{um}*) “becoming foremost, getting priority or precedence,” as well as $\text{çU}^{\text{?c}}$ (*furâṭa*) “a small mountain” and

Š?c (*furut^{um}*) “an eminence resembling a mountain” (Lane 2375–2377). Because Bethlehem Ephrathah was situated 2,550 feet above sea level—and was 100 feet higher than Jerusalem—*htrpa*, / *hjrpa*, could well reference its size and elevation along a ridge—analogue to the cognates cited here for small portions, small coins, and a small mountain.³

Moreover, the conclusion that the *trp* in *htrpa*, was a by-form of *jrpa* “small” receives confirmation from the very next word in Micah 5:1, which is *ry[ic'* “small.”⁴ Thus, by *definition* and by *description* Bethlehem Ephrathah was such an insignificant village that Micah could state “you are too little to be among the clans of Judah” (NAS) or “too small to be among the rulers⁵ of Judah” (NIV, NIB).

However, as suggested by the cognates Š?c (*faratā/far?*) and Š@c (*fārit?*), the by-forms *jrpa/trpa* indicated not only the “smallness” of something, but also conveyed ideas of mastery, ascendancy, prevalence, and prominence. In Micah 5:1, the prophet capitalized on these disparate nuances of *jrpa/trpa*. *Insignificant* Ephrathah would become *prominent*; *small* Ephrathah would become *great*—for a “ruler in Israel” would come from Bethlehem.

For Micah the appointment of a *lvām* “ruler” from Ephrathah had been predicted “from of old” (~*dQmi*), “ages ago” (~*lA[ymqmi*), when the epithet *trpa/Ephrath* was first given to the father of Bethlehem (I Chron 4:4). For the prophet Micah, little Bethlehem would fulfill at least two definitions hidden in the epithet *Ephrathah*. Although Bethlehem was but a *minor* village she would soon become *preeminent and foremost* by providing the ruler for the restoration of “the

former dominion of the daughter of Jerusalem” (Micah 4:8).

Micah’s expectations about the ruler coming from Bethlehem can be reorganized and summarized as follows:⁶

- from you [Bethlehem] shall come forth for me one who is to be ruler in Israel (MT 5:1b),
- and he⁷ shall deliver us from the Assyrian when he comes into our land and treads within our border (MT 5:5b),
- and he shall stand and feed his flock in the strength of YHWH, in the majesty of the name of YHWH his God. And they shall dwell secure, for now he shall be great to the ends of the earth (MT 5:3).

Once these verses are united it becomes quite clear that Micah’s prediction about the Israelite ruler coming from Bethlehem was grounded in history, including

- the remote past when the epithet *Ephrath(ah)*, with its different levels of meaning, was given to Bethlehem, and
- Micah’s own historical moment when Israel was in immediate need of a ruler who could rescue the country from Assyrian aggression and oppression.

The first words of 5:4 (MT), “And this shall be peace,” make a fitting close to the prophecy about the coming ruler, as well as an introduction to the prediction about the next appointment of seven shepherds and eight princes who, in a great reversal of power, would rule the land of Assyria with the sword and thereby maintain the peace for Israel. Although this latter prediction in 5:4b–5 (MT) was never fulfilled, according to the Magi and Matthew, the former prediction in 5:1 and 5:3 (MT) was fulfilled with the birth of Jesus in Bethlehem. The appeal of the Magi to Micah’s prophecy (as

quoted in Matt 2:6), requires comment because neither the Magi nor Matthew mentiond *Ephrathah*. And, surprisingly, Micah's assertion that Bethlehem Ephrathah was "(too) little to be among the clans of Judah," was turned into a negative: "you are by no means least among the rulers of Judah."

Matthew 2:6

Kai. su. Bhql eem(gh/Vlouða(
 ourdamwj el acisth ei=en toij hgemosin Vlouða\
 ek sou/ gar eweleusetai hgoumenoj(
 oštij poimanei/ ton laon mou ton Vlsrah!Å

And you, O Bethlehem, in the land of Judah,⁸
 are by no means least among the rulers of Judah;
 for from you shall come a ruler
 who will govern my people Israel.

It is obvious that the Magi were not quoting the Septuagint. Their use of hgoumenoj "princes" for the MT $\text{ypl} \text{a}$; instead of $\text{cili} \text{a} \text{sin}$ "thousands," with the Septuagint, is one example of the independent reading of $\text{ypl} \text{a}$ as $\text{ypwl} \text{a}$.⁹ As in Gen 48:7b, where Ephrathah appears without the final h (as trpa , instead of the htrpa , in 48:7a), the shorter trpa was probably in the *Vorlage* used by Matthew or the Magi (or by their source). Secondly, this trpa became corrupted to tspa "you ceased"¹⁰ and was then translated into Greek with the force of spa , (a particle of negation) as ourdamwj "not at all"—similar to the translation in the Septuagint of !ya ; as ourdeij "in no way."¹¹ Thus, the Magi's quotation from Micah lacks any mention of htrpa / Ephrathah or the $\text{oi} \text{koj}$ tou/ Efraqa "house of Ephrathah," found in the Septuagint¹²

Seemingly, then, a single scribal error in the textual tradition used by the Magi and Matthew—the misreading **trpa** as **tspa**—accounts for the two significant variations in the abbreviated quotation of Micah 5:2 (MT) in Matthew 2:26.

NOTES

1. The $\sim\text{I}\Lambda\text{[}$ and $\sim\text{dQ}$, in the phrase $\sim\text{I}\Lambda\text{[ym}\bar{\text{m}}\text{i} \sim\text{dQm}\text{i}$ do not indicated any kind of pre-existence. Compare Amos 9:11,

$\sim\text{I}\Lambda\text{[ym}\bar{\text{m}}\text{Ki hytjnbW}$

and I will build it (the booth of David)
as in the days of old

and Malachi 3:4,

$\sim\text{H}\text{V}\bar{\text{W}}\text{ryw}\text{I} \text{hdW}\bar{\text{h}}\text{y} > \text{tX}\bar{\text{n}}\bar{\text{m}}\text{i} \text{hw}\bar{\text{h}}\text{y}\text{I} ; \text{hbr}\bar{\text{r}}\text{[w} >$
 $\text{tAVnm}\bar{\text{d}}\bar{\text{s}} ; \sim\text{yn}\bar{\text{v}}\text{K}\bar{\text{W}} \sim\text{I}\Lambda\text{[ym}\bar{\text{m}}\text{Ki}$

kai. aresei tw/ kuriw/ qusia louda kai.
Ierousal hm kaqwj ai`
hmerai tou/ aiw/hoj
kai. kaqwj ta. eth ta. emprosqen

And the sacrifice of Judah and Jerusalem
shall be pleasing to the Lord,
according to the former days,
and according to the former years.

2. The names **htrpa**, **trpa**, and **ytrpa**, are cited in BDB (68) under the root **rpa**, stem II, without definition.

3. The **j rP** in Amos 6:5, used with reference to ecstatic singing or playing a musical instrument, would be the cognate of Arabic **S?c** (*farata*) “to speak (thoughtlessly) or act hastily” (Lane 6: 2375).

4. Note that $\text{ry}[\text{c}'$ also has the by-form $\text{ry}[\text{t}'$ "small."
5. Reading perhaps $\text{hdw}hy > \text{yp} \ll \text{LaB}$. for the MT $\text{hdw}hy > \text{ypd} \text{ aB}$. and the Septuagint's $\text{en cilia sin louda}$ "among the thousands of Judah."
6. Micah 5:2 (MT) is a misplaced verse which interrupts the natural transition from 5:1 (MT) and 5:3 (MT). It reads as follows:

Therefore *He* (YHWH) shall give *them* (" the heads of the house of Jacob and the rulers of the house of Israel" [MT 3:8]) up until the time when *she* (the "daughter of Zion" [4:10]) who is in travail has brought forth; then the rest of *his* (Jacob's) brethren shall return to the people of Israel.

This verse, when moved to follow 3:12, provides a second "therefore" clause corresponding to the "therefore" at the beginning of 3:12. The two verses when so united speak of the impending fall of Jerusalem and exile in Babylon (alluded to also in 4:10).

7. The RSV and the NRS make the verb plural as though its antecedent were the "seven shepherds and eight princes of men who shall rule the land of Assyria with the sword," mentioned in 5:6a. The NAB opted for "we will be delivered." But the versions follow the singular in the Hebrew text, as do most English translations. Elliger proposed in BHS to emend I yChit to VhI yChit "he will deliver us," and this has been followed by many translators.

8. The MT $\dots \text{ry}[\text{c}' \text{ htrpa, } \sim \text{xI, } \text{tyBe hT'aw}$ was expanded in the Shem Tob Hebrew Gospel of Matthew to read (with additional words underlined):

$\text{htrpa } \underline{\text{hdw}hy} \sim \text{xI } \text{tyb } \text{htaw}$
 $\dots \text{ry}[\text{c } \underline{\text{hta } !h } \underline{\text{hdw}hy} \# \text{ra.}$

Six of the nine Shem Tob manuscripts (ABDEFG) read the negative **!ya** (= *oudamwj*) instead of interjection **!h** (= *idou*).

9. See above, note 5.

10. For the confusion of the **Γ** with **Μ** and the **Μ** with **Σ**, see Friedrich Delitzsch, *Die Lese- und Schreibfehler im Alten Testament* (Berlin and Leipzig: Walter de Gruyter), 1920: 119–120 (§131).

11. The **#ra'yspa;** in 5:3b (MT) may have influenced the reading of **trpa** as **tspa** in 5:1 (MT) in the *Vorlage* reflected in Matt 5:26. The use of **S@'ds** (*mafâriṭu*) in Arabic for “the *extremities* of a country” (Lane 6: 2378), like the **#ra'yspa;** “ends of the earth,” provides another possible explanation of how the stem **jrp** (and its by-form **trp**) took on *negative* as well as *locative* connotations like the root **Spa**. Were this the case, there would be no need to appeal to a scribal error of misreading **trpa** as **tspa**.

12. Note John 7:42,

ouc h' grafh. ei pen oti
ek tou/ spermatoj David kai. apo. Bhq l eem
thj kwmhj opou ha David ercetai o' Cristoj t

Does not scripture say that
from the seed of David and from Bethlehem,
the village where David lived, the Christ will come ?